

C/5000
3079

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. ~~3274~~ 3274 OF 1995

PETITIONERS:

1. Nagar Panchayat, Bodri, Tahsil Bilha, District Bilaspur, through its President.

2. Laxmi Narayan Dubey son of Shri Mukhichand Dubey, aged 38 years, President, Nagar Panchayat Bodri, Tah. Bilhaa,District Bilaspur.

Vs.

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Madhya Pradesh through the Local Self Government Department, Bhopal.

2. Deputy Director, Urban Administration, Bilaspur.

3. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Bilaspur.

4. Shri P.P.Sharma,son of not known, aged about 48 years, r/o Gondpara, Bilaspur.

Smt. Kamla Verma
K. Verma
26/9/95

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION

DETAILS OF PETITION

1. Particulars

(45)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3274 of 1995

Petitioners		Nagar Panchayat, Bodri and another
	Versus	
Respondents		State of Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh) & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

None for the for the petitioners.

Miss Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 19th day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioners have filed this petition challenging the order dated 9th February, 1995 (Annexure P/4) whereby the respondent No.5 was transferred from Gandai to Bodri.
2. The petitioners had sent a letter dated 4.8.1995 (Annexure P/2) to the respondent No.1 to the effect that there would be problem in drawl of payment of the respondent No.5 and copy of the transfer order dated 9.2.1995 was not endorsed to the petitioners. The petition was admitted on 17.10.1995 but no stay was granted.
3. Be that as it may, it is apparent that with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate order on the letter dated 4.8.1995 (Annexure P/2).
4. It is for the employer/State to transfer its employee/officer in the public interest and in administrative exigency. The petitioners cannot question a transfer only on the ground that there would be problem in drawl of salary of the transferred employee.
5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI
Judge

Thakur